Social Empowerment of Women through Self Help Groups

Dr.K.SwarnaLatha¹, Prof.R.K.Anuradha², Dr.R.B.Satyavathi³, Dr.K.Kodanda Reddy⁴

¹Researcher, Department of Home Science, Sri Venkateswara University

²Professor, Department of Home Science, Sri Venkateswara University

^{3,4}Associate Professor, Department of Adult Education, Sri Venkateswara University

Abstract— Women empowerment is a process in which women challenge the existing norms and culture, to effectively promote their well-being. empowerment addresses the social discrimination existing in the society. The participation of women in self-help groups (SHG's) made a significant impact on their empowerment both in social and economic aspects. This study addresses social empowerment of women through self-help groups in Tirupati District of Andhra Pradesh. The data required for the study was collected from both the primary and secondary sources. The study was conducted on 500 SHG women belonging to NGO-RASS. Multi-stage random sampling was used to collect the sample. The conceptual framework and the results of the study revealed that the SHG's have had greater impact on social aspects of the beneficiaries and their standard of living and decision-making capacity remarkably improved after joining the SHG.

Index Terms: Women, Empowerment, Self-Help groups, Micro-credit.

I.INTRODUCTION

Empowerment of women means equal status to women. Empowering the women socio-economically through increased awareness of their rights and duties as well as access to resources is a decisive step towards greater security for them which includes higher literacy level and education for them, better healthcare for women and children, equal ownership of productive resources, increased participation in economic and commercial sections, awareness of their rights and responsibilities, improved standards of living and acquiring self-reliance, self-esteem and self-confidence (Stella Mary, 2012). Thus, the framework of empowerment encompasses the welfare of women, satisfaction of basic needs, access to resources and equal participation in decision making which refers to the ultimate level of equality and empowerment. SHG's have emerged as the tool that yields socio-economic evolution in the rural areas of our country.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A comprehensive review of literature is essential for any good research endeavor as it provides background information to aid researcher in designing and analyzing research work

Kanakalatha (2017) conducted a study on "The participation of women in self-help groups (SHG's)" and concluded that SHG's had a significant impact on their empowerment both in social and economic aspects.

Lakhawat Saroj and Charan Shailendra Singh (2015) opined that socio-economic empowerment was considered significant for overall development of women. Women's empowerment was evidently necessary for escalating socio-economic condition of the women in the society.

III.METHODOLOGY

The major objective of the study was to examine the socio-economic, demographic, cultural and other confounding factors association with social empowerment of the SHG women of RASS, Chittoor district.

The present study was carried out on 500 SHG women of RASS (NGO)located in Tirupati, Chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh. A multistage random sampling technique was used for collecting sample. Statistical analysis was carried out via SPSS 20.0 and alpha level was set at p<0.05. Qualitative variables were provided with percentages and continuous variables with descriptive. Student's test and chi

square test were applied to see the strength of association with independent variables.

IV.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 500 women in the age range of 21-56 years were screened for the present study.

Table 1: Data on age of respondents

Variable		N	%
Age groups			
20-30 years		46	9.20
31-40 years		244	48.80
41-50 years		200	40.0
>50 years		10	2.0
Variable	Category	N	%
Religion			
1	Hindu	419	83.80
2	Muslim	29	5.80
3	Christian	52	10.40
	Total	500	100
Caste			
1	OC	91	18.20
2	BC	198	39.60
3	SC	195	39.00
4	ST	16	3.20
	Total	500	100
Geographical b	ackground		
1	Rural	299	59.80
2	Urban	201	40.20
	Total	500	100

Table 2. Data on social empowerment indicators of the study subjects

	Variable	N	%
Memb	ership in SHG and	its impact on so	cial life
1	Yes	397	79.4

_		102	20.6
2	No	103	20.6
	Total	500	100
Indep	endent source of inc	ome before join	
1	Yes	220	44.0
2	No	280	56.0
	Total	500	100
Better	image in the family	after joining Sl	HG
1	Yes	326	65.2
2	No	174	34.8
	Total	500	100
Redu	ce dependency on m	ale family mem	bers
1	Yes	207	41.4
2	No	293	58.6
	Total	500	100
Activ	e participation in SH	IG	
1	Yes	334	66.8
2	No	166	33.2
	Total	500	100
Interp	ersonal relations aft	er joining SHG	
1	Yes	300	60.0
2	No	200	40.0
	Total	500	100
Impro	vement in social sta	atus and decisio	n making after
joinin	g SHG		
1	Yes	260	52.0
2	No	240	48.0
	Total	500	100
Increa	se in self confidence	e after joining S	HG
1	Yes	248	49.6
2	No	252	50.4
	Total	500	100
	1	l	

Table 3: Association of social empowerment indicators with caste status of the respondents

S	Variable	Response				Ca	ste				T	Chi-	
No				OC	Е	C	SC		ST				square
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
1	Membership impact on	Yes	78	85.7	151	76.3	158	81.0	10	62.5	397	79.4	
	social life	No	13	14.3	47	23.7	37	19.0	6	37.5	103	20.6	6.519
2	Income source before	Yes	52	57.1	84	42.4	76	39.0	8	50.0	220	44.0	8.812*
	joining SHG	No	39	42.9	114	57.6	119	61.0	8	50.0	280	56.0	
3	Image in the family	Yes	66	72.5	122	61.6	128	65.6	10	62.5	326	65.2	
	after joining SHG	No	25	27.5	76	38.4	67	34.4	6	37.5	174	34.8	3.342
4	Reduce dependency on	Yes	38	41.8	75	37.9	87	44.6	7	43.8	207	41.4	
	males	No	53	58.2	123	62.1	108	55.4	9	56.2	293	58.6	1.884
5	Active participation in	Yes	59	64.8	131	66.2	134	68.7	10	62.5	334	66.8	
	SHG	No	32	35.2	67	33.8	61	31.3	6	37.5	166	33.2	0.652
6	Interpersonal relations	Yes	57	62.6	117	59.1	116	59.5	10	62.5	300	60.0	
	after joining SHG	No	34	37.4	81	40.9	79	40.5	6	37.5	200	40.0	0.395
7	Improvement in status	Yes	52	57.1	92	46.5	107	54.9	9	56.2	260	52.0	
	decision making	No	39	42.9	106	53.5	88	45.1	7	43.8	240	48.0	4.155
8	Increase in self	Yes	45	49.5	98	49.5	98	50.3	7	43.8	248	49.6	
	confidence	No	46	50.5	100	50.5	97	49.7	9	56.2	252	50.4	0.254

*p<0.05

Caste of the respondents did not show significant association with attaining membership and its impact on social indicators except income source before joining SHG. In SC and BC communities, income source before joining SHG was not appreciable. A good number of OC community possessed income source even before joining in SHG, while half of ST population do possess income source before joining SHG (p<0.05). The results failed to show significant

association between caste communities and impact on social life after becoming member in SHG. However, cross examination of the results indicated that 60 to 85 percent of the people improved social status very much after becoming member in SHG. Similarly their image in the family, decision making and interpersonal relations were elevated and dependency on male members for various purposes has been decreased.

Table 4. Association of social empowerment indicators with age of the respondents

S. No	Variable	Response			Age	code of t	he respon	ıdent			To	otal	Chi-
			20	0-30	31	-40	41	-50		>50]		square
1	Membership in SHG impact	Yes	37	80.4	197	80.7	154	77.0	9	90.0	397	79.4	1.688
	on social life	No	9	19.6	47	43.5	46	23.0	1	10.0	103	20.6	1 I
2	Independent source of	Yes	21	19.6	114	46.7	81	40.5	4	40.0	220	44.0	1.844
	income before joining SHG	No	25	54.3	130	53.3	119	59.5	6	60.0	280	56.0	1 1
3	Better image in the family	Yes	33	71.7	170	69.7	115	57.5	8	80.0	326	65.2	9.209*
	Better image in the family	No	13	28.3	74	30.3	85	42.5	2	20.0	174	34.8	1
4	Reduce dependency on male	Yes	21	45.7	106	43.4	74	37.0	6	60.0	207	41.4	3.785
	family members	No	25	54.3	138	56.6	126	63.0	4	40.0	293	58.6	1
5	Active participation in SHG	Yes	32	69.6	169	69.3	126	63.0	7	70.0	334	66.8	2.174
		No	14	30.4	75	30.7	74	37.0	3	30.0	166	33.2	1
6	Improve Interpersonal	Yes	33	71.7	149	61.1	111	55.5	7	70.0	300	60.0	4.861
	relations	No	13	28.3	95	38.9	89	44.5	3	30.0	200	40.0	1 1
7	Improvement in social status	Yes	28	60.9	125	51.2	99	49.5	8	80.0	260	52.0	5.150
	& decision making	No	18	39.1	119	48.8	101	50.5	2	20.0	240	48.0	1
8	Increase in self confidence	Yes	26	56.5	119	48.8	97	48.5	6	60.0	248	49.6	1.478
		No	20	43.5	125	51.2	103	51.5	4	40.0	252	50.4	1

*p<0.05

Based on the age of the respondents, they were divided into four class intervals like 20-30 yrs, 31-40 yrs, 41-50 yrs and >50 yrs and the results were shown accordingly. Age of the respondents did not show significant association with any of the social indicators except their image after joining in the SHG. The respondents in the age group above 50 years were at highest perception in feeling better

image in the society, 70 percent in 20-30 yrs and 31-40 yrs, while a least of 58 percent in 41-50 yrs age group subjects felt better image after becoming member in SHG. Overall above 50 age group respondents stood at highest frequency in attaining social status and inter personal relations. The continued and sustained involvement in SHG might have facilitated the benefit of social elevation.

Table 5. Association of social empowerment indicators with geographical background of the respondents

S. No	Variable	Response	Geog	raphical l	Backgrou	md of	To	otal	Chi-
1				the respondent					square
			Ru	Rural		Urban			
1	Membership in SHG	Yes	243	81.3	154	76.6	397	79.4	1.592
1	impact on social life	No	56	18.7	47	23.4	103	20.6	
2	Independent source	Yes	150	50.2	70	34.8	220	44.0	
	of income before joining SHG	No	149	49.8	131	65.2	280	56.0	11.482*
3	Better image in the	Yes	195	65.2	131	65.2	326	65.2	0.000
1	family	No	104	34.8	70	34.8	174	34.8	0.000
4	Reduce dependency on male family	Yes	125	41.8	82	40.8	207	41.4	0.051
1	members	No	174	58.2	119	59.2	293	58.6	
5	Active participation in	Yes	191	63.9	143	71.1	334	66.8	2.860
1	SHG	No	108	36.1	58	28.9	166	33.2	2.800
6	Improvement in	Yes	182	60.9	118	58.7	300	60.0	0.234
1	interpersonal relations	No	117	39.1	83	41.3	200	40.0	0.234
7	Improvement in social status and decision	Yes	173	57.9	87	43.3	260	52.0	10.231*
	making	No	126	42.1	114	56.7	240	48.0	10.231
8	Increase in self	Yes	153	51.2	95	47.3	248	49.6	0.734
	confidence	No	146	48.8	106	52.7	252	50.4	0.754

P<0.05

Data on association of social empowerment indicators with geographical background of the respondents were shown in table 5. Rural and urban differences were noticed in social empowerment indicators like source of income before joining SHG and improved social status and decision making (p<0.05). In rural subjects, half of them expressed that they had independent source of income before

joining SHG, while in urban, a vast majority did not possess any independent source of income before joining SHG. Improvement in social status and decision making was higher in rural respondents than urban counterparts. Even though a significant difference was not observed in other indicators, SHG impact was found more in the rural subjects than urban subjects.

Table 6.Association of social empowerment indicators with occupational status of the respondents

S.	Variable	Response			Occu	pation of	SHG r	nember			T	otal	Chi-
No		_		ulture	Petty b	usiness	Daily	wages	Hous	ewife	1		square
			Labou										
1	Membership in SHG	Yes	26	89.7	137	84.0	99	78.0	134	74.4	396	79.4	6.873
	impact on social life	No	3	10.3	26	16.0	28	22.0	46	25.6	103	20.6	
2	Independent source of	Yes	13	44.8	74	45.4	50	39.4	82	45.6	219	43.9	1.417
	income before joining SHG	No	16	55.2	89	54.6	77	60.6	98	54.4	280	56.1	
3	Better image in the family	Yes	20	69.0	106	65.0	86	67.7	113	62.8	325	65.1	1.001
		No	9	31.0	57	35.0	41	32.3	67	37.2	174	34.9	
4	Reduce dependency on	Yes	13	44.8	75	46.0	51	40.2	67	37.2	206	41.3	2.945
	male family members	No	16	55.2	88	54.0	76	59.8	113	62.8	293	58.7	1
5	Active participation in	Yes	18	62.1	116	71.2	77	60.6	122	67.8	333	66.7	3.946
	SHG	No	11	37.9	47	28.8	50	39.4	58	32.2	166	33.3	
6	Improvement in	Yes	20	69.0	103	63.2	68	53.5	108	60.0	299	59.9	3.865
	interpersonal relations	No	9	31.0	60	36.8	59	46.5	72	40.0	200	40.1	
7	Improvement in social	Yes	21	72.4	91	55.8	56	44.1	91	50.6	259	51.9	9.126*
	status and decision making	No	8	27.6	72	44.2	71	55.9	89	49.4	240	48.1	
8	Increase in self confidence	Yes	15	51.7	84	51.5	61	48.0	87	48.3	247	49.5	0.535
		No	14	48.3	79	48.5	66	52.0	93	51.7	252	50.5	

P<0.05

Data on association of social empowerment indicators with occupational status of the respondents were shown in table 6. Among the social empowerment indicators only social status and

decision making showed significant association. Subjects' occupation of agricultural labor witnessed higher frequency in social status and decision making followed by petty business and housewife and then daily wages.

Table 7. Assoc`iation of social empowerment indicators with educational status of the respondents

S.	Variable	Respo				Education	ial status				To	otal	Chi-
No		nse	Illi	terate	Pri	mary	Seco	ndary	H	igher	1		square
1	Membership in SHG	Yes	57	81.4	180	80.7	109	75.7	51	34.7	397	79.4	1.714
	impact on social life	No	13	18.6	43	19.3	35	24.3	12	19.0	103	20.6	1
2	Independent source of	Yes	31	44.3	86	38.6	68	47.2	35	55.6	220	44.0	6.697
	income before joining SHG	No	39	55.7	137	61.4	76	52.8	28	44.4	280	56.0	
3	Better image in the	Yes	46	65.7	136	61.0	102	70.8	42	66.7	326	65.2	3.827
	family	No	24	34.3	87	39.0	42	29.2	21	33.3	174	34.8	
4	Reduce dependency on	Yes	32	45.7	89	39.9	61	42.4	25	39.7	207	41.4	0.872
	male family members	No	38	54.3	134	60.1	83	57.6	38	60.3	293	58.6	
	Active participation in	Yes	36	51.4	164	73.5	94	65.3	40	63.5	334	66.8	*12.49
	SHG	No	34	48.6	59	26.5	50	34.7	23	36.5	166	33.2	1
6	Interpersonal relations	Yes	46	65.7	131	58.7	84	58.3	39	61.9	300	60.0	1.361
	after joining SHG	No	24	65.7	92	41.3	60	41.7	24	38.1	200	40.0	
7	Improvement in social	Yes	39	55.7	111	49.8	74	51.4	36	57.1	260	52.0	1.518
	status and decision making	No	31	44.3	112	50.2	70	48.6	27	42.9	240	48.0	
8	Increase in self	Yes	34	48.6	113	50.7	69	47.9	32	50.8	248	49.6	0.331
	confidence	No	36	51.4	110	49.3	75	52.1	31	49.2	252	50.4	1

*P<0.05

Data on association of social empowerment indicators with educational status of the respondents were shown in table 7. Subjects' educational status has shown significant association with active participation in SHG only. Subjects educational status positively driven active participation in SHG activities.

Table 8. Mean and standard deviation values for social empowerment

Variable	Mean	S.D	Minimum	Maximum
Social Empowerment	12.70	2.13	8	16

Data on mean value of social empowerment among the study subjects was shown in table 8. The average score on social empowerment in the present study was 12.70 ranging between 8 and 16 respectively.

Table 9. Mean value for social empowerment according to socio-economic conditions

	Social empowerment						
	N	Mean	S.D				
Geographical Backgro	ound						
Urban	299	12.72	2.076				
Rural	201	12.68	2.220				
t-value	0.192						
Caste							
OC	91.00	12.77	1.99				
BC	198.00	12.55	2.25				
SC	195.00	12.86	2.09				
ST	16.00	12.44	2.00				
F-value	0.807						
Education							
Illiterate	70.00	12.70	2.25				
Primary	223.00	12.76	2.17				
Secondary	144.00	12.65	2.08				
Higher	63.00	12.65	2.06				
F-value	0.096						
Occupation							
Agricultural labor	29.00	13.14	1.83				
Petty business	163.00	12.91	2.16				
Daily wages	127.00	12.53	2.11				
Housewives	180.00	12.56	2.16				
F-value	1.512						
Income							
<rs.3000< td=""><td>16.00</td><td>13.38</td><td>1.96</td></rs.3000<>	16.00	13.38	1.96				
Rs.3000-5000	65.00	12.71	2.40				
Rs.5000-8000	234.00	12.59	2.10				
>Rs.8000	185.00	12.79	2.09				
F-value	0.849						

^{*}p<0.05

Mean value of social empowerment among the study subjects according to their geographical background, and socioeconomic indicators was shown in table 9. No significant difference was observed between rural and urban groups in terms of their social empowerment. Similarly subjects' caste status, education, occupation and income also did not show any significant variation in social empowerment.

Table 10. Multinomial logistic regression to predict the effect of independent variables on social empowerment

Variable	Parameter estimates		95% CI	
	Exp (B)	Sig	Lower	Upper
Caste				
OC	Reference			

BC	0.952	0.409	0.607	1.070				
SC	1.020	0.745	0.907	1.147				
ST	0.930	0.566	0.726	1.192				
Geographical background								
Urban	Reference							
Rural	1.008	0.847	0.927	1.097				
Education								
Illiterate	1.011	0.894	0.862	1.186				
Primary	1.024	0.724	0.898	1.167				
Secondary	0.999	0.988	0.870	1.147				
Higher	Reference							
Occupation								
Agricultural	1.140	0.175	0.943	1.379				
labor								
Petty	1.083	0.119	0.980	1.197				
business								
Daily wages	0.994	0.910	0.894	1.105				
House wife	Reference							
Income								
< Rs.3000	1.147	0.291	0.889	1.479				
Rs.3000-	0.982	0.790	0.860	1.122				
5000								
Rs.5000-	0.957	0.342	0.874	1.048				
8000								
>Rs.8000	Reference							

In order to assess the impact of geographical background and socioeconomic status on social empowerment, we performed binary/multinomial logistic regression model (enter method). We have taken geographical background and socioeconomic conditions as independent variables and social empowerment as dependent variable as fixed factor. Beta coefficients (odds ratio) and 95% class intervals extracted and the data was shown in table10. None of the dependent variables exerted significant effect on independent variables.

V.CONCLUSION

The major findings in the study justify the greater role played by the SHGs in increasing social empowerment of women. It is also found that the SHGs created confidence for social, economic self-reliance among the members. From the above results, it was inferred that a remarkable change in the social and economic life of the SHG women was noticed after becoming member in the SHG.

REFERENCE

 Baghel D, Srivastava D. Role of self help groups in socio-economic development of rural women:
 A study on Durg district of Chhattisgarh.

- International Journal of Multidisciplinary Management Studies, 5 (11): 1-23, 2015.
- [2] Greaney BP, KaboskiJP,VanLeemput E. Can self-help groups really be self-help? TheReview of Economic Studies, 83 (4): 1614–1644, 2016.
- [3] Kanakalatha V. The socio-economic empowerment of women through self help groups An empirical study. IOSR Journal of Business and Management, 19(7): 35-45, 2017.
- [4] Kappa Kondal. Women empowerment through self help groups in Andhra Pradesh, India. International Research Journal of Social Sciences, 3(1): 13-16, 2014.
- [5] LakhawatSaroj & CharanShailendraSingh. Women empowerment through microfinance (SHG's): A study of Ajmer district, Rajasthan, India. International Research Journal of Social Sciences, 4(11): 1-6, 2015.
- [6] Stella Mary. Micro-finance and women empowerment: A comparative study on socioeconomic development of self help group women in few districts of Tamilnadu region 2012.