

# A Study on How Globalisation Challenges National Sovereignty in Developing Nations

Diksha Bhadoriya, Kavita Agrawal

*Research Scholar, Department of Political Science KamlaRaja Girls Government Post Graduate College,  
Gwalior*

*Professor, Department of Political Science KamlaRaja Girls Government Post Graduate College,  
Gwalior*

**Abstract-** This study explores the multifaceted ways in which globalisation challenges national sovereignty in developing nations, focusing on economic, political, cultural, and technological dimensions. As globalisation accelerates cross-border flows of capital, goods, information, and influence, the authority of states—particularly those with limited institutional capacity—is increasingly constrained. Developing countries often find themselves navigating a complex landscape where economic reforms are influenced by global financial institutions, domestic industries are shaped by multinational corporations, and political decisions are conditioned by international treaties and external stakeholders. The paper examines how global integration affects economic autonomy through liberalisation policies and foreign investment pressures, while also highlighting the role of supranational governance structures in shaping domestic agendas. Furthermore, the study investigates cultural erosion driven by the spread of global media and digital platforms, as well as the loss of data sovereignty in the face of foreign technological dominance. Using case studies from India, Nigeria, and Brazil, the research illustrates how sovereignty is being redefined rather than erased, with states continuously balancing global engagement and domestic control. The paper concludes that while globalisation offers opportunities for development and modernization, it simultaneously necessitates a critical rethinking of sovereignty, particularly in contexts marked by historical inequality and dependency.

## INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary era, globalisation has emerged as a dominant force reshaping international relations, domestic governance, and economic development across the globe. Nowhere is its impact more profoundly felt than in the developing world, where the traditional concept of national sovereignty—

defined as a state's absolute authority to govern its territory and people without external interference—has increasingly come under pressure. Originally rooted in the Westphalian system of statehood established in the 17th century, sovereignty has long been the cornerstone of the international legal order, allowing states to make autonomous decisions concerning their political, economic, social, and cultural affairs. However, the relentless acceleration of globalisation in recent decades, driven by advancements in technology, communication, and liberalised trade, has profoundly altered this foundational concept, creating new dependencies, vulnerabilities, and transnational entanglements that challenge the very fabric of state sovereignty.

For developing nations, this transformation presents a particularly sharp paradox. On one hand, globalisation offers significant opportunities for economic advancement, such as increased access to foreign direct investment (FDI), expanded markets for exports, exposure to cutting-edge technologies, participation in global value chains, and enhanced diplomatic visibility on the international stage. These benefits have enabled some developing countries to reduce poverty, modernize infrastructure, and integrate into the global economy at an unprecedented pace. Yet, on the other hand, these very processes have exposed developing nations to external control, market volatility, policy constraints, and cultural homogenisation, raising serious questions about their ability to independently formulate and execute policies in the national interest.

The growing influence of transnational corporations, global financial institutions, and international regulatory bodies means that key aspects of national governance are often shaped by actors who operate

beyond the direct control of the state. For example, decisions taken by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, or World Trade Organization (WTO) can significantly influence the fiscal, trade, and industrial policies of a developing country, sometimes more than the will of its own citizens or elected representatives. Multinational corporations, attracted by cheap labour and resource abundance, often demand preferential treatment, which can lead governments to compromise labour rights, environmental protections, and tax sovereignty in a bid to attract or retain investment. Similarly, digital globalisation, led by tech giants such as Google, Meta, Amazon, and Microsoft, raises critical concerns about data sovereignty and cybersecurity, especially in countries with limited digital infrastructure and legal frameworks.

Moreover, globalisation has a profound cultural and social dimension, one that influences public perception, identity, and values. The worldwide dominance of Western media, consumer brands, educational models, and language has gradually led to the erosion of indigenous cultures, languages, and traditional knowledge systems in many developing societies. This cultural convergence can weaken national identity, disrupt community cohesion, and foster societal divisions, especially in pluralistic and multi-ethnic nations. As younger generations increasingly consume global content and adopt global lifestyles, a disconnect may emerge between traditional norms and modern aspirations, further complicating efforts by states to preserve cultural heritage while participating in global modernity.

In addition to economic and cultural concerns, the political implications of globalisation are equally significant. The growing web of intergovernmental agreements, international treaties, and multilateral institutions has diluted the unilateral power of states to act solely in their own interests. Although these frameworks often promote cooperation and shared progress, they can also restrict the policy autonomy of developing countries, especially when compliance with externally imposed norms is linked to trade benefits, aid, or membership in prestigious global forums. Domestic political movements, civil society organisations, and even social protests are increasingly influenced or supported by global actors, including foreign NGOs, diaspora networks, and international advocacy groups. While this transnational engagement

can strengthen democratic accountability and human rights, it can also be perceived as a challenge to national control and sovereignty.

Furthermore, globalisation has brought with it new forms of inequality, both within and among nations. While some developing countries have prospered, others have been left behind or even further marginalized. Within countries, the benefits of globalisation often accrue disproportionately to urban elites, skilled workers, and multinational-linked sectors, while rural populations, small businesses, and traditional industries face rising inequality and exclusion. These disparities can fuel domestic discontent, political polarization, and resistance to global integration, further complicating the governance landscape and sovereignty of the state.

This research aims to explore in detail how globalisation has reshaped the sovereignty landscape in developing countries, examining the economic, political, cultural, and institutional dimensions of this transformation. By focusing on the intersections of external pressure and internal policymaking, it seeks to highlight the nuanced ways in which sovereignty is being redefined—not lost, but rather negotiated, shared, and transformed—in response to the pressures of an increasingly interconnected world. Through empirical case studies from countries like India, Nigeria, and Brazil, and drawing upon theoretical insights from international political economy, post-colonial studies, and global governance theories, the paper seeks to deepen understanding of the new sovereignty paradigm emerging in the Global South. It critically assesses whether developing nations can strike a balance between engaging with global systems and preserving their sovereign rights, and what strategies may help them reclaim agency in an era dominated by global flows of power, capital, and culture.

#### GLOBALISATION AND THE ECONOMIC SOVEREIGNTY OF DEVELOPING NATIONS

One of the most profound ways in which globalisation affects national sovereignty is through economic integration. Developing countries have increasingly opened up their markets to foreign direct investment (FDI), liberalized trade policies, and embraced structural adjustment programs promoted by institutions like the International Monetary Fund

(IMF) and the World Bank. While these measures are often intended to stimulate growth, they also entail a significant reduction in the state's ability to control its economic policies. Economic sovereignty is compromised when countries are pressured to reduce tariffs, privatize public assets, or adopt fiscal austerity in exchange for international financial assistance. For example, during the 1980s and 1990s, several Latin American and African nations adopted neoliberal economic reforms as a precondition for international loans, which led to a wave of privatizations and reduced public spending, often with adverse social consequences.

Multinational corporations (MNCs) also play a dominant role in this scenario. Developing nations, eager to attract foreign investment, often provide regulatory concessions, tax incentives, and environmental waivers that diminish their control over national resources and labour policies. These concessions, while aimed at job creation and industrial growth, often skew the balance of power in favour of global capital, leaving the host country with little room to enforce equitable and sustainable development practices. Moreover, the volatility of global markets exposes developing economies to external shocks, such as sudden capital flight or commodity price fluctuations, thereby undermining their economic stability and autonomy.

#### POLITICAL SOVEREIGNTY AND INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

Globalisation also affects political sovereignty by increasing the influence of supranational institutions and foreign governments over domestic policymaking. International organisations such as the United Nations, the World Trade Organization (WTO), the IMF, and regional trade blocs like ASEAN or MERCOSUR often set rules that member states must follow, sometimes at the expense of national priorities. Developing countries, which frequently depend on international aid and trade access, find themselves obliged to conform to externally imposed standards on governance, human rights, environmental regulations, and economic management.

For instance, trade agreements often require the harmonization of regulations, which may conflict with national laws or undermine local industries. Additionally, foreign aid and development assistance

are frequently tied to political conditions, such as the implementation of democratic reforms or adherence to specific foreign policy stances. While these requirements can promote good governance, they can also restrict the policy space available to sovereign governments and impose external political agendas that may not align with local contexts or needs. The result is a gradual erosion of a state's ability to determine its own path of development and governance.

#### CULTURAL SOVEREIGNTY AND THE SPREAD OF GLOBAL NORMS

Another area where globalisation challenges national sovereignty is in the cultural sphere. The proliferation of global media, consumer brands, and digital platforms has led to a significant homogenization of cultural expressions. Western cultural norms, values, and lifestyles are increasingly permeating the social fabric of developing nations, often at the expense of local traditions, languages, and identities. The dominance of English-language media, Hollywood films, fast-food chains, and Western fashion contributes to a form of cultural imperialism that can marginalize indigenous cultures and disrupt social cohesion.

For many developing countries, cultural sovereignty is essential not just for preserving heritage but also for maintaining social harmony and national identity. When globalisation promotes a culture of consumerism and individualism, it can clash with traditional communal values and lead to intergenerational tensions. Moreover, the spread of global digital platforms controlled by foreign corporations can create information monopolies, leaving little room for local voices and narratives. In this context, sovereignty becomes not just a political or economic issue, but a cultural and psychological one, affecting how citizens perceive themselves and their place in the world.

#### THE ROLE OF TECHNOLOGY AND DATA SOVEREIGNTY

In the digital age, technology has become a critical dimension of sovereignty. Developing nations are increasingly reliant on foreign technology firms for communication infrastructure, cybersecurity, and

digital services. This dependency raises concerns about data privacy, surveillance, and the control of digital infrastructure. The dominance of tech giants like Google, Facebook, and Amazon in developing countries means that vast amounts of user data are stored, analyzed, and monetized outside national jurisdictions.

This loss of data sovereignty poses significant risks to national security and regulatory autonomy. Without robust domestic capabilities or legal frameworks, developing countries struggle to safeguard sensitive information, enforce data protection laws, or regulate digital monopolies. Efforts to establish national digital frameworks or impose data localization laws often face resistance from global tech firms and diplomatic pressure from foreign governments advocating for free data flows. As a result, developing countries face a digital sovereignty dilemma: how to balance the benefits of technological integration with the need to retain control over their digital ecosystems.

#### CASE STUDIES: INDIA, NIGERIA, AND BRAZIL

In India, the impact of globalisation on sovereignty is evident in multiple sectors. The liberalization policies initiated in 1991 opened the Indian economy to global markets, resulting in impressive growth and modernization. However, foreign influence in sectors such as retail, pharmaceuticals, and technology has also raised sovereignty concerns. For example, India's decision to ban several Chinese mobile applications in 2020 reflected a growing emphasis on digital sovereignty and national security amid geopolitical tensions.

Nigeria offers another perspective, where dependence on oil exports and foreign investment has created vulnerabilities. The Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) imposed by the IMF in the 1980s required Nigeria to devalue its currency, reduce public expenditure, and privatize state enterprises. While these reforms aimed to stabilize the economy, they also undermined domestic industries and increased socio-economic inequality, thus limiting the government's sovereign control over its development model.

Brazil, as a member of MERCOSUR and BRICS, has navigated globalisation by balancing regional cooperation with strategic autonomy. While Brazil has benefited from trade and foreign investment, it has also resisted certain WTO mandates and advocated for

greater representation of developing countries in global institutions. Its experience illustrates how strategic diplomacy and regional solidarity can be used to mitigate the sovereignty-eroding effects of globalisation.

#### REASSERTING SOVEREIGNTY IN A GLOBALISED WORLD

Despite the challenges, many developing countries are taking steps to reclaim aspects of their sovereignty. Strategies include promoting local industries through protectionist policies, strengthening regulatory frameworks, investing in digital infrastructure, and forming regional alliances. South-South cooperation, for instance, offers a platform for developing countries to collaborate on equal footing, share best practices, and reduce dependence on Western-dominated institutions.

Moreover, there is a growing emphasis on inclusive global governance that reflects the interests and voices of the Global South. Calls for reforming institutions like the UN Security Council, the IMF, and the WTO underscore the need for a more equitable international system where sovereignty is respected, and development is context-sensitive.

#### CONCLUSION

Globalisation presents a complex challenge to national sovereignty in developing nations. While it offers pathways to economic progress, technological advancement, and global integration, it also imposes limitations on policy autonomy, cultural identity, and regulatory control. The experience of countries like India, Nigeria, and Brazil illustrates that sovereignty is not a static concept but a dynamic process shaped by domestic capacities and international relations. Developing nations must navigate this dual reality with strategic foresight, ensuring that global engagement does not come at the cost of self-determination. By building resilient institutions, embracing regional partnerships, and advocating for a fairer global order, they can strive to preserve their sovereignty while reaping the benefits of an interconnected world.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhagwati, J. (2004). *In Defense of Globalization*. Oxford University Press.
- [2] Rodrik, D. (2011). *The Globalization Paradox: Democracy and the Future of the World Economy*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- [3] Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). *Globalization and Its Discontents*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- [4] Krasner, S. D. (1999). *Sovereignty: Organized Hypocrisy*. Princeton University Press.
- [5] Scholte, J. A. (2005). *Globalization: A Critical Introduction* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
- [6] Wade, R. H. (2003). What Strategies Are Viable for Developing Countries Today? The World Trade Organization and the Shrinking of 'Development Space'. *Review of International Political Economy*, 10(4), 621–644.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290310001601902>
- [7] Sassen, S. (2006). *Territory, Authority, Rights: From Medieval to Global Assemblages*. Princeton University Press.
- [8] Held, D., & McGrew, A. (2002). *Globalization/Anti-Globalization: Beyond the Great Divide*. Polity Press.
- [9] Ohmae, K. (1995). *The End of the Nation State: The Rise of Regional Economies*. Free Press.
- [10] Tikly, L. (2004). Education and the New Imperialism. *Comparative Education*, 40(2), 173–198.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0305006042000231347>
- [11] Chomsky, N. (1999). *Profit Over People: Neoliberalism and Global Order*. Seven Stories Press.